Introduction
In this week’s writing assignment, you’ll be analyzing one of four arguments on a topic relating to cyberethics. In your svn directory, you’ll find a file named “W4_assignment.txt” with your assigned argument. Your job is to evaluate the author’s argument using the method described in class. In our own words, you should explicitly identify the major premises and the conclusion. Remember, your reviewer might not be familiar with this topic, so make sure you’re clear. You should then evaluate the argument (i.e. is valid or invalid, sound or unsound, inductive or fallacious?) Additionally, identify any appeals the author makes to the four ethical theories we’ve discussed (utilitarian, deontological, contract-based, and virtue-based.)

You should then make your own argument, either agreeing or disagreeing with the author’s conclusion. Remember to make a strong argument (sound or inductive.)

Argument A
Bruce Schneier’s Your TV May Be Watching You

Argument B
Peter Suderman’s The Bad Argument Behind the FCC’s Move to Regulate the Internet Like a Utility
http://reason.com/blog/2015/02/04/the-bad-argument-behind-the-fccs-move-to

Argument C
Keren Elazari’s TED talk Hackers: the Internet’s immune system
http://www.ted.com/talks/keren_elazari_hackers_the_internet_s_immune_system
Transcript available here:
http://www.ted.com/talks/keren_elazari_hackers_the_internet_s_immune_system/transcript?language=en

Argument D
Kyle Wiens WTF! It Should Not Be Illegal to Hack Your Own Car’s Computer
http://www.wired.com/2015/01/let-us-hack-our-cars/