Introduction

In this week’s writing assignment, you’ll again be analyzing one of four arguments on a topic relating to cyberethics. In your svn directory, you’ll find a file named “W5_assignment.txt” with your assigned argument. Your job is to evaluate the author’s argument using the method described in class. In our own words, you should explicitly **identify the major premises and the conclusion**. Remember, your reviewer might not be familiar with this topic, so make sure you’re clear. You should then **evaluate the argument** (i.e. is valid or invalid, sound or unsound, inductive or fallacious?) Additionally, identify any appeals the author makes to the **four ethical theories** we’ve discussed (utilitarian, deontological, contract-based, and virtue-based.) Furthermore, you should **identify any logical fallacies** the author makes.

You should then make your own argument, either agreeing or disagreeing with the author’s conclusion. Remember to make a **strong** argument (sound or inductive.)

**Argument A**
Kevin Kelley’s *Why You Should Embrace Surveillance, Not Fight It*
http://www.wired.com/2014/03/going-tracked-heres-way-embrace-surveillance/

**Argument B**
Janko Roettgers’s *Disrupting reality: Silicon Valley is busy ignoring the real world*
https://gigaom.com/2015/02/15/disrupting-reality-silicon-valley-is-busy-ignoring-the-real-world/

**Argument C**
David Auerbach’s *You Had One Job, Lenovo*
http://www.slate.com/articles/technology/bitwise/2015/02/lenovo_superfish_scandal_why_it_s_one_of_the_worst_consumer_computing_screw.html

**Argument D**
Cory Doctorow’s *Crypto wars redux: why the FBI's desire to unlock your private life must be resisted*